

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et. al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.,*  
No. 13-cv-01173

*Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,*  
No. 11-cv-01656;

*Electrograph Sys. Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et*  
*al., No. 13-cv-05724;*

*Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;*

*Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;*

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No.*  
*11-cv-05513;*

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,*  
*No. 13-cv-05264;*

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et*  
*al., No. 11-cv-05514;*

*Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-*  
*05686;*

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Chunghwa Picture*  
*Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05514;*

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et*  
*al., No. 13-cv-05262;*

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,*  
*No.11-cv-06275;*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF  
THEIR RULE 56(d) SUPPLEMENT  
TO OPPOSITION TO THOMSON  
CONSUMER'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND  
PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO  
CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND  
79-5(d)**

3539016v1/012325

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
CASE NO. 07-5944; MDL No. 1917

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.13-cv-05727;*

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06276;*

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05726;*

*CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06396;*

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02648;*

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05725;*

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02649;*

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05668;*

*Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-00157*

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05514;*

The Court has considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal the following portions of their Rule 56(d) Supplement to Opposition to Panasonic's Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d):

- The highlighted portions of Plaintiffs' Rule 56(d) Supplement to Opposition to Thomson Consumer's Motion for Summary Judgment and Partial Summary Judgment.
- Exhibits 47–60 to Plaintiffs' Supplement.

Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, if any, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their Rule 56(d)

3539016v1/012325

Supplement to Opposition to Panasonic's Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Civil Local  
Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) is hereby GRANTED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. SAMUEL CONTI  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3539016v1/012325